

# POLITECNICO MILANO 1863

# LAB7: Report guidelines and Conclusion

Project recap and final considerations

Process Systems Engineering A – Prof. Davide Manca



ANDREA ISELLA | PSE-LAB



# **PROJECT RECAP**

# **Conceptual Design – Hierarchy of decisions**

*If the potential of the N-level is greater than zero, the process may be economically attractive; vice versa, the process is not economically interesting and the procedure must be interrupted.* 

- EP1: Batch vs Continuous
- EP2: Input-Output structure of the flowsheet

$$EP_{2} = \sum_{j=1}^{NPRODUCTS} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{P,j} \cdot \dot{\boldsymbol{n}}_{j} - \sum_{i=1}^{NREACTANTS} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{R,i} \cdot \dot{\boldsymbol{n}}_{i}$$

• EP3: Recycle structure of the floowsheet

$$EP_3 = EP_2 - \underset{reatt}{\in} - \underset{compr}{\in}$$

• EP4: General structure of the separation system

$$EP_4 = EP_3 - (CAPEX + OPEX)$$
 separation section

• EP5: Heat Exchange Network (not discussed for HDA plant)

### **CONTINUOUS PROCESS**





#### ANDREA ISELLA | PSE-LAB – Process Systems Engineering A









# **REPORT GUIDELINES**

#### **ANDREA ISELLA** | PSE-LAB – Process Systems Engineering A

#### **POLITECNICO** MILANO 1863



Biphenyl flow rate (or concentration) vs. Residence time, at changing T

General overview of the HDA process/plant and the reactions involved

Material balances and plant specifications, degrees of freedom analysis

10

Conversion vs. Residence time, at changing T

Report guidelines – Requirements

Report guidelines - Include the following (1/6):

EP1 decision: batch or continuous?

Kinetic study results and diagrams:

٠

٠

- Selectivity vs. Residence time, at changing T
- Selectivity vs. Conversion, at changing T
- Conversion vs. T, according to the specified selectivity
- Residence time vs. T, according to the specified selectivity



EP2 vs. SF, at changing T

EP2 vs.  $x_v$ , at changing T

EP2 vs. Conversion, at changing SF

LAB3

LAB2

**ANDREA ISELLA** | PSE-LAB – Process Systems Engineering A

# Report guidelines - Include the following (2/6):

Adiabatic AT evaluation Discuss the isothermal assumption for the reactor Compare with the results from HYSYS/UniSim

#### EP2 results and diagrams:

٠

Molar fraction of H<sub>2</sub> in the vent  $(x_y)$  vs. Split factor (SF), at changing T ٠

evaluating EP2 for both burn/sell biphenyl scenarios

according to the best EP2 choice (burn OR sell)

11

**Report guidelines – Requirements** 





#### **Report guidelines – Include the following (3/6):**

#### <u>EP3 results and diagrams</u>:

- <u>R molar flow vs. SF</u>, at changing T
- Reactor volume vs. SF, at changing T
- Reactor diameter vs. SF, at changing T
- Reactor CapEx vs. SF, at changing T
- Compressor CapEx vs. SF, at changing T
- Compressor OpEx vs. SF, at changing T
- <u>EP3 vs. SF</u>, at changing T suggestion: also show the EP2 line(s) on the same diagram
- <u>optimum EP3 vs. T</u>, according to the optimum SF for each T suggestion: also show the corresponding EP2 line on the same diagram



### **Report guidelines – Include the following (4/6):**

## • HYSYS/UniSim process simulator results:

- show the <u>plant layout</u> at one of the four investigated temperatures
- in the layout, display (*show Table*) the <u>reaction temperature</u> and the <u>split factor</u>
- in the layout, display (*show Table*) the values of the <u>Adjust targets</u> (HTR, selectivity, B) *expected precision: approximately within 0.1% error*
- make sure the layout image in the report is readable and can be zoomed





**Report guidelines – Requirements** 

## **Report guidelines – Include the following (5/6):**

- Separation section results: For each distillation column, show the main results at the investigated temperatures (e.g. in table form):
  - Number of separation stages
  - <u>Tray sizing</u>: tray spacing, diameter, height
  - CapEx of vessel and internals
  - Reboiler and condenser duties and OpEx
  - Reboiler and condenser exchange areas and CapEx
  - Overall CapEx+OpEx
- EP4 results and diagrams:
  - <u>Separation section cost vs. T</u>
  - <u>EP4 vs. T</u>

*requirement*: also show the <u>corresponding EP2 and EP3 lines</u> on the same diagram





## Report guidelines - Include the following (6/6):

MATLAB scripts and functions: All your MATLAB codes must be included in the report (at the end, as an Appendix)



MATLAB/Excel figures and HYSYS/UniSim screenshots:

All figures from MATLAB/Excel and screenshots from HYSYS/UniSim must include the last names of both groupmates.

Add them directly in MATLAB (e.g. by title or text), Excel, and HYSYS/UniSim (e.g. by Add text), respectively: no post-processing additions are allowed.



#### **Report guidelines – Recommendations:**

For every result in the report:

- do not forget the <u>units of measurement</u>
- use a reasonable format and number of digits
- remember how you obtained it (e.g. the assumptions made and their ranges of validity)

For every plot in the report, don't forget to:

- add the x/y axes labels (*xlabel, ylabel*) and units of measurement
- show ranges that are meaningful and can be discussed
- make sure it is understandable
  - *if needed, add the title of the plot (title), e.g. to specify the temperature*
  - if needed, add a legend of the curves (legend), e.g. to distinguish different lines
  - you can also use captions for figures and tables
- reason about how you obtained it, what it shows/means, and why



# **ADDITIONAL STEPS**



#### Possible additional steps, improvements, and results:

### Improve the MATLAB calculations

e.g. consider recycles in the kinetics study (if you did not yet), or remove the isothermal reactor hypothesis (to evaluate how the reaction temperature evolves along the reactor length)

# Improve the HYSYS/UniSim calculations e.g. check the consistency of the split factor definition, or re-design the separation section until convergence

- Update the EP2-EP3 calculations using the results from HYSYS/UniSim then in principle, we could also...
  - Perform the EP3 (or EP4) optimization vs. Split factor using HYSYS/UniSim

## You might also think about...

- how to study the dynamic EP employing econometric models?
   e.g. for the EP4 optimum, studying different scenarios
- how to estimate the EP5?
   evaluate the furnace costs, describe the heat exchanger network, apply the Pinch technology...



# **EXAM RULES**

### **Exam rules** – Essential information:

The PSE-A final evaluation consists of an **oral exam** that comprises:

- *Practical part*: questions related to the HDA project (i.e. LAB1, LAB2, LAB3, etc.)
- *Theoretical part*: questions related to the theoretical lectures (i.e. L1, L2, L3, etc.)

To achieve the PSE-A final mark (that will be **valid for 365 days**, starting from the official day of the exam session concerned), the candidate should pass **both parts**.  $\rightarrow$  It is <u>highly</u> recommended to take the exam together with your groupmate.

Remember to:

- <u>enroll</u> in the exam through Online Services → Exams → Exams registration
- bring with you a **printed copy** of the report on the day of the exam



# **FINAL SURVEY**



# **THANK YOU**